UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,337	10/03/2003	Lucien Alfred Couvillon JR.	701470.4069	2550
7590 03/26/2007 David E. Wang Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1600 Irvine, CA 92614-2558			EXAMINER	
			JAWORSKI, FRANCIS J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/678,337	COUVILLON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jaworski Francis J.	3768			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 2006				
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
′=	,—	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
·	Claim(s) <u>1 - 10, 25 and 27 - 34</u> is/are pending i	n the application				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	* *				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1 - 10, 25 and 27 - 34</u> is/are rejected.		·			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
_	•	-				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_			(4) (5)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) _l	All b) Some * c) None of:	have been received				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
The substitute of the substitute of the solution deploy not recontent.						
Attachment(s) 4) Making of References Cited (RTO 200)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Infor	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention insofar as its dependency is unclear. For purposes of advancing prosecution it is presumed to depend either from claim 34 or claim 1.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 6 – 8, 25 and 27 – 32 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Violante et al (US6106473, of record in the IDS filed December 29, 2003) which teaches a pre-coat and/or base coat layer, see cols. 11 – 12 selected for adhesive properties in adhering the ultrasound microbubble contrast agent – containing coating which is placed thereover. Spray coating would be a pressure application for the adhesive coat. The pre-coat may include silicone polymer. A polymer topcoat may overlie the echogenic layer.so as to reduce wetting, and may include anti-microbials or antibiotics per col. 11 bottom and in a tri-layer embodiment. Violante et al suggests

Application/Control Number: 10/678,337

Art Unit: 3768

incorporation of the coating invention into among others, catheters, stents and AV-fistulas, the latter two being forms of prosthethes replacing or augmenting natural body parts.

Since Violante et al in col. 3 lines 11 – 24 advocate installing a coating layer over the adhesive layer which contains ultrasound bubbles of approximately 10 micron size and therefore ultrasound microbubbles by definition, where the coating layer approaches 5-10 micron thickness within the stated size range the microbubbles necessarily reside 'directly on the adhesion layer' as the amended base claim now recites.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/678,337 Page 4

Art Unit: 3768

Claims 2 – 5 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Violante et al as argued above, and further in view of deJong et al (US6752762) since whereas the former does not mention harmonics, it would have been obvious in view of the latter for the Violante et al microbubbles to vibrate as the harmonic or 77subharmonic of the ensonating ultrasound wave since this is the non-linear nature of microbubble vibration, see cols. 1 – 2 of the latter, and since Violante et al in having reactive gas-producing embodiments would be expected to have some bubble coalescence which drives subharmonic formation.

Claim 9 is again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Violante et al as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Lambert (US4585666), since Violante et al acknowledge the latter as a hydrogel coating alternative to silicone polymer.

Claim 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Violante et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Tardy et al

(US5618551) insofar as whereas the former is silent as to DOPA use as an adhesive, it

would have been obvious in view of the latter col. 1 to so use same since the material

was known to provide strong biocompatible adhesiveness.

Claims 33 – 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Violante et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Klibanov et al (US2005/0260189) insofar as the latter would teach applying an adhering or adhesive microbubble coating to microbubbles in order to facilitate adherence to application

Application/Control Number: 10/678,337

Art Unit: 3768

surfaces whereupon such a contrivance would be inherently wetted in the in-body

application environment.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jaworski

Francis J. at telephone number 571-272-4738.

FJJ:fjj

09082006

rancis J. Jaworski

Page 5

Primary Examiner